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# 1. Introduction

The aim of this document is to report on the quality status of some code extracts from the Apache OFBiz project, an open source product for the automation of enterprise processes. Such inspection is performed with the support of a review checklist that contains all possible issues that can be found in java source code.

# 2. Assigned classes

The paths of the two assigned classes are, respectively:

../apache-ofbiz-16.11.01/framework/entity/src/main/java/org/apache/ofbiz/entity/util/EntityDataAssert.java

../apache-ofbiz-16.11.01/framework/entity/src/main/java/org/apache/ofbiz/entity/util/EntityCrypto.java

For the sake of simplicity, following in the document, we will call the two classes with only their names, that are respectively EntityDataAssert and EntityCrypto.

# 3. Functional role of assigned set of classes

The aim of the class EntityCrypto is to encrypt entities.

# 4. List of issues found by applying the checklist

In this section we are going to list all the issues found according to the checklist provided.

## 4.1. EntityDataAssert.java

1. The class hasn’t got a meaningful name.
2. The name of the method declared in line 42 is ambiguous, the verb “assert” isn’t clear in the context of the class.
3. The heading comment figures as Javadoc due to the multiple stars.
4. Declarations of methods in lines 42, 70 and 78 exceed the 120-character limit per line.
5. Javadoc is missing in every method.
6. The variable checkValue of type GenericValue has an ambiguous name and the usage of a verb at the imperative is more likely to suggest a method name and not that the variable is actually a value to be checked. This possible misunderstanding occurs every time the variable checkValue is involved (e.g. line 56, 73, 74, 78, 79, 84, 94, 102). A better name could be valueToCheck.
7. Same story for the checkField object (e.g. at lines 102, 105, 107).
8. Same story for the checkPK object (e.g. at lines 102, 105, 107).
9. The “PK” acronym should be cased consistently, maybe it’s better to avoid lowercases for example as for the variable nonpkFieldName which might be difficult to read (e.g. lines 95, 97, 98, 102, 103, 106), as well as mixed cases like for the method name getNoPkFieldNames (e.g. line 95, 106).
10. The “PK” acronym, which most likely means primary key, should be explained, for example in the existing comment in line 83.
11. In lines 45-50, 71, 79-81, a NullPointerException should be thrown because it seems that a null value has no sense when passed as a parameter.
12. The comment in lines 62-63 includes some unused code (line 63) and the motivation it has been cut off (line 62), but the reason why the code is left there isn’t explained.

## 4.2. EntityCrypto.java

1. All the classes of the source file haven’t got the related Javadoc (lines 50, 214, 227, 296, 351).
2. Javadoc is missing in every method starting from the one at line 124.
3. Lines 97-98, line 102: the comments smell of something going wrong.
4. The variable kek of type byte[] used in the EntityCrypto constructor (lines 60, 61, 63, 64) has an unclear name.
5. At line 130 there is a comment that suggest that the following code is incomplete.
6. The comment in lines 62-63 includes an (line 63), but the reason
7. The methods decrypt, declared in line 124, and doDecrypt, declared in line 142, have very similar names. Furthermore the code of method decrypt suggests that it only delegates the method doDecrypt passing some parameters, so the real operation of decrypting is carried out by the doDecrypt method.

# 5. Other highlighted problems

1. In line 129 of the EntityCrypto.java there is a for cycle, and inside the cycle there is a return statement, therefore only the first iteration with variable i=1 is executed and the method always returns a call to the method doDecrypt passing the handler[i] with i=1 value.
2. In line 174 of the EntityCrypto.java the method findKey checks if the variable keyValue is null, and if so, returns a null byte[] object. After that, in line 144 the method doDecrypt checks if the returned byte[] (variable key) is null and, if so, throws an EntityCryptoException. To avoiding the return of a null object, maybe it’s better to perform this check directly in the findKey method instead that in the doDecrypt one.